Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF Document 266 Filed 09/28/06 Page 1 of 4 **E-filed 6/14/06** 1 SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON, LLP JAMES M. CHADWICK Cal. Bar No. 157114 2 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-4106 3 Tel: 415.434.9100 Fax: 415.434.3947 4 jchadwick@sheppardmullin.com 5 6 DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP DIANA NG FUNG Cal. Bar No. 185175 7 2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2248 8 Tel: 650.833.2000 Fax: 650.833.2001 9 diana.ng.fung@dlapiper.com 10 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff HORTA, LLC 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 SAN JOSE DIVISION 16 HORTA, LLC, CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS) 17 [Related Case Nos. C 00-20018 JF 18 and C 05-03778 JF] Plaintiff, 19 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER V. CONTINUING AUGUST 4, 2006 HEARING ON 20 HORTA, LLC'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER, REOPEN, AND AMEND JUDGMENT CITY OF SAN JOSE, 21 [Civil Local Rule 6-2] 22 Defendant. Judge: Hon. Jeremy Fogel 23 Complaint: August 23, 2002 24 25 26 27 28

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE HEARING DATE FOR HORTA, LLC'S MOTION TO AMEND JUDGMENT —CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS) WEST:5JMC1\400013190.1

W02-

1	STIPULATION
2	WHEREAS, on February 28, 2005, the Court entered judgment in this action;
3	WHEREAS, on March 14, 2005, plaintiff Horta, LLC ("Horta") filed its Motion to
4	Reconsider, Reopen, and Amend Judgment (the "Motion to Amend") and set it for hearing on
5	May 6, 2005;
6	WHEREAS, on May 6, 2005, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to Amend to
7	August 5, 2005 to permit Horta to conduct additional discovery relating to issues presented in the
8	Motion to Amend;
9	WHEREAS, Horta has served discovery on non-party Federal Aviation Administration
10	("FAA"), in the form of document requests and requests for deposition testimony relating to
11	issues presented in the Motion to Amend;
12	WHEREAS, on July 7, 2005, Horta and the City stipulated to continuing the August 5,
13	2005 hearing on the Motion to Amend at least ninety (90) days;
14	WHEREAS, on July 13, 2005, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to Compel
15	to November 4, 2005;
16	WHEREAS, on September 20, 2005 and October 14, 2005, the FAA filed motions to
17	quash Horta's subpoenas for documents and deposition testimony;
18	WHEREAS, Horta has filed a separate action to enforce its right to the requested
19	discovery from the FAA in the related case Horta, LLC v. Federal Aviation Administration,
20	United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. C 05-03778 HRL (the
21	"FAA Action");
22	WHEREAS, on September 30, 2005, Horta and the City stipulated to continuing the
23	November 4, 2005 hearing on the Motion to Amend at least ninety (90) days;
24	WHEREAS, on October 5, 2005, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to
25	Amend to February 3, 2006;
26	WHEREAS, on October 27, 2005, Horta filed a motion for summary judgment in the FAA
27	Action to compel the FAA to provide complete responses to Horta's discovery requests and to
28	provide the requested deposition testimony;
	W02- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE HEARING DATE FOR HORTA, LLC'S MOTION TO AMEND JUDGMENT —CASE NO. C 02-04086 JF (RS)

WEST:5JMC1\400013190.1

Case 5:02-cv-04086-JF Document 266 Filed 09/28/06 Page 3 of 4

1	WHEREAS, the Court took the FAA's motions to quash and Horta's motion for summary
2	judgment under submission at the close of the December 16, 2005 combined hearing on the
3	motions and has not yet ruled on them;
4	WHEREAS, on January 26, 2006, Horta and the City stipulated to continuing the
5	February 3, 2006 hearing on the Motion to Amend at least ninety (90) days;
6	WHEREAS, on January 30, 2006, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to
7	Amend to May 5, 2006;
8	WHEREAS, on March 30, 2006, Horta and the City stipulated to continuing the February
9	3, 2006 hearing on the Motion to Amend at least ninety (90) days;
10	WHEREAS, on April 4, 2006, the Court continued the hearing on the Motion to Amend to
11	August 4, 2006;
12	WHEREAS, pursuant to the February 7, 2006 Order in the FAA Action, the Court will not
13	issue its ruling on the FAA's motions to quash and Horta's motion for summary judgment unless
14	and until the Court is informed that discussions between Horta and the FAA regarding a potential
15	resolution have failed to resolve the issues presented by those motions;
16	WHEREAS, if the discussions between Horta and the FAA fail to resolve the issues
17	presented by Horta's motion for summary judgment and the FAA's motions to quash, Horta
18	contends that it requires additional time to complete discovery pertaining to the issues raised in
19	the Motion to Amend;
20	WHEREAS, Horta and the FAA have engaged in discussions, and expect that their
21	discussions will be complete within the next 60 days; and
22	WHEREAS, Horta and the City of San Jose (the "City") agree that the August 4, 2006
23	hearing on the Motion to Amend should be continued for at least sixty (60) days;
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-2-
	WOO STIDLILATION AND [DDODOSED] ODDED DE HEADING DATE EOD HODTA

	<u> </u>
1	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this action
2	that the August 4, 2006 hearing on Horta's Motion to Amend shall be continued at least sixty (60)
3	days.
4	Dated: June 12, 2006
5	SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP
6	
7	By JAMES CHADWICK
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff HORTA, LLC
9	Dated: June 2, 2006
10	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney
11	
12	By / //////////////////////////////////
13	JOSEPH P. DICIUCCIO SANDRA LEE
14	Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF SAN JOSE
15	
16	<u>ORDER</u>
17	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. The hearing on Horta's Motion
18	to Reconsider, Reopen, and Amend Judgment is further continued from August 4, 2006 to
19	October 6, 2006, at 9:00 a.m., in the courtroom of the Honorable Jeremy Fogel,
20	located at 280 South First Street, San Jose, California.
21	D. 17 12 2006
22	Dated: June <u>13</u> , 2006
23	
24	
25	JERE MY FOGEL UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
26	UNITED STATES BISTRICT COURT JUDGE
27	
28	-3-
	W02- STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE HEARING DATE FOR HORTA, WEST:5JMCJ\400013190.1 LLC'S MOTION TO AMEND JUDGMENT —CASE NO. C 02-04086 IF (RS)